12/18/2019 10:33 AM

Velva L. Price District Clerk

Travis County D-1-GN-19-008702 D-1-GN-19-008702 CAUSE NO. **Ruben Tamez** S § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF RAJ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Plaintiff, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS v. INVERSE ASSET FUND, LLC & SCOTT A. CARSON 98TH Defendants. JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND RULE 193.7 NOTICE

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Plaintiff, St Raj ("Raj" "), files this Original Petition and Rule 193.7 Notice, complaining of Defendants, Inverse Asset Fund, LLC ("Inverse"), and Scott A. Carson ("Carson"), (collectively "Defendants") and would respectfully show the following:

I. DISCOVERY

1. Plaintiff requests that discovery in this case proceed under Discovery Control Plan Level 2 pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

II. NATURE OF THE CASE

2. On or about November 2, 2017, Raj entered into a Joint Venture Agreement (the "Agreement") with Inverse to purchase investment notes (the "Notes") for \$58,000.00. Raj delivered the full amount of \$58,000.00 to Inverse but it has failed and refused to perform its end of the bargain. Upon the maturity date of the Agreement, Raj sent Inverse written notice for a return of its \$58,000.00 plus the 12% annualized interest agreed to between the parties. After months of phone calls and attempts to contact Inverse, it has become apparent that Inverse does not intend to honor the Agreement.

III. JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter because the amount in controversy exceeds the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.
- 4. Venue is proper in Travis County under Section 15.002(a)(3) of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code because defendant's principal office is located in Travis County, Texas.
- 5. Plaintiff seeks monetary relief of \$100,000 or less and nonmonetary relief. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend its claim for relief in the event that Plaintiff learns of additional damages in discovery.
- 6. All conditions precedent for Plaintiff to recover have been performed, have occurred, or have been waived or excused.

IV. PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff, Si 1 Raji is an individual.
- 8. Defendant, Inverse Asset Fund, LLC is a Texas limited liability company, whose principal place of business is in Travis County, Texas and may be served with process through its registered agent Scott A. Carson at 13785 Research Boulevard, Suite 125-146, Austin, Texas 78750 or wherever it may be found. Issuance of Citation is hereby requested.
- 9. Defendant, Scott A. Carson is an individual doing business in Travis County, Texas and may be served with process at 13785 Research Boulevard, Suite 125-146, Austin, Texas 78750 or wherever he may be found. Issuance of Citation is hereby requested.

V. FACTS

10. On or about November 2, 2017, Raj entered into a Joint Venture Agreement with Inverse (the "Agreement"). In the Agreement, Raj agreed to pay Inverse \$58,000.00 to acquire real estate Notes and/or real property. Inverse agreed to create a checking account, lists

of expenses, lists of repairs, and a Basecamp account allowing Raj full disclosure of the status of the Agreement. Despite repeated requests by Raj , Defendants did nothing.

- 11. The parties agreed that all proceeds stemming from the sale of the Notes and/or real property would be distributed as follows: (1) Raj __ would receive the portion of the \$58,000.00 used to purchase the Notes and/or real property, (2) repayment of any additional contributions, (3) closing costs and expenses, and (4) the parties would split the remaining amount 50/50. To date, Inverse has not informed Raj of any sale of the Notes and/or real property.
- 12. The Agreement specified that, if Inverse failed to sell the Notes and or real property purchased using the \$58,000.00 after 12 months, Raj could ask for a return of the \$58,000.00 plus 12% annualized interest.
- 13. It has been over 12 months and Inverse has yet to fulfill any of its obligations under the Agreement. Raj asked for a return of the terminated the Agreement per the contract and has yet to receive his \$58,000.00 plus 12% annualized interest. Raj requested an explanation numerous times via email, telephonically, and finally through his attorney to no avail.
- 14. Raj now seeks his damages from the Defendants for the amount he was promised in the Agreement. Raj also seeks his reasonable and necessary, equitable and just attorneys' fees incurred in pursuing collection of these amounts through this lawsuit.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

A. Breach of Contract

- 15. Raj incorporates all the preceding paragraphs in their entirety for all purposes.
- 16. Raj has a valid contract with Inverse for the purchase and sale of Notes and/or real property. Raj performed under the contract. Inverse breached the contract.

- Raj has suffered damages as Inverse has failed to fulfill any of its obligations under the contract.
- 17. Inverse's failure to perform caused Raj 's damages as Raj has yet to receive the \$58,000.00 plus 12 % annualized interest under the Agreement.

B. Money Had and Received

- 18. Raj incorporates all the preceding paragraphs in their entirety for all purposes.
- 19. Defendants are holding money that in equity and good conscience belongs to Rajy . Defendants are liable to Inverse for at least \$58,000.00 plus 12 % annualized interest they are holding.

C. Fraud

- 20. Raj incorporates all the preceding paragraphs in their entirety for all purposes.
- 21. Inverse, through its agent Carson, made materially false representations to Raj with the intent that Rajv a enter into the Agreement. Rajv relied on Defendants' materially false representations and entered into the contract thereby causing Rajv a injury.
- 22. Accordingly, Raj seeks a recovery of his actual damages and consequential damages suffered as a result of Defendants' fraud. Raj further seeks exemplary damages pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 41.003(a)(1) because of Defendants' fraud.

D. <u>Liability of Carson Pursuant to the Texas Tax Code</u>

23. Pursuant to Texas Tax Code §171.255, the officers and directors of the Inverse are personally liable for the debts Inverse created or incurred after the time of the forfeiture and before the corporate privileges are revived. Prior to and at the time of the transaction which makes the

basis of this lawsuit, Inverse's existence was in forfeiture and remained in forfeiture until December 11, 2017. As such, Carson is jointly and severally liable for any amounts awarded to Raj' in this action against Inverse.

E. Attorney's and Other Fees

24. Rajy asks the Court to award him reasonable and necessary attorney fees from Defendants under Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code § Chapter 38.001 and the Texas Business and Commerce Code § 27.01(e). Rajy is also entitled to his expert witness fees and other costs provided for in Texas Business and Commerce Code § 27.01(e).

VII. RULE 193.7 NOTICE

25. Pursuant to Rule 193.7 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Raj hereby gives actual notice to Defendants that any and all documents produced by Defendants may be used against Defendants at any pretrial proceeding and/or at the trial of this matter without the necessity of authenticating the documents.

VIII. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Raj respectfully requests that the Court:

- a) award Rajy actual and consequential damages;
- b) award Raj exemplary damages;
- c) award Raj his attorney fees;
- d) award Raj prejudgment interest and post-judgment interest;
- e) award Raj costs of suit;
- f) grant Raj all relief in law and in equity to which he is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

RAPP & KROCK, PC

/s/ R. Alex Weatherford
Kenneth M. Krock
State Bar No. 00796908
R. Alex Weatherford
State Bar No. 24079553
Matthew B. Buschi
State Bar No. 24064982
1980 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1200
Houston, Texas 77056
(713) 759-9977 telephone
(713) 759-9967 facsimile
kkrock@rappandkrock.com
aweatherford@rappandkrock.com
mbuschi@rappandkrock.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF